BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2009-226-E

In the Matter of:)	
Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Authority to Adjust and Increase Its Electric Rates and Charges)))))	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF PHILLIP O. STILMAN FOR DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is Phillip O. Stillman. My business address is 526 South Church Street,
3		Charlotte, North Carolina.
4	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
5	A.	I am General Manager, Regulatory Accounting and Planning for Duke Energy
6		Business Services, LLC, which provides regulatory accounting and planning
7		support for Duke Energy's franchised electric and gas operations, including Duke
8		Energy Carolinas, LLC ("Duke Energy Carolinas" or the "Company").
9	Q.	DID YOU PREVIOUSLY FILE DIRECT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF
10		DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS' APPLICATION IN THIS DOCKET?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
13	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to certain accounting
14		adjustments proposed by the Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS"), including
15		removal from the adjusted test year, expense of amounts for (1) inflation on non-
16		labor operations and maintenance ("O&M") expenses, and (2) operating costs
17		associated with the Allen Steam Station scrubbers and additional ownership
18		interest in Catawba Nuclear Station.
19		II. RESPONSE TO ORS ADJUSTMENTS
20	Q.	DO YOU AGREE WITH ORS' PROPOSED ADJUSTMENT TO IN-
21		PERIOD INFLATION?
22	A.	No, I do not. ORS' removal of the Company's proposed \$4,106,000 adjustment
23		to annual non-labor O&M expense in the test year fails to recognize the impact of

inflation experienced by the Company during the test year.	The purpose of the
Company's adjustment is to reflect that the prices Duke Energ	gy Carolinas paid for
supplies and services used in the operations of the utility incr	eased during the test
year.	

To reflect the real cost of goods experienced by the Company during the test year, Duke Energy Carolinas used the Consumer Price Index ("CPI") to adjust its test year expenses. The CPI, which measures the average change in prices of goods and services, was used in the Company's original adjustment because it is widely recognized and produced a reasonable inflation rate to recognize the change in prices the Company incurred during 2008.

The Company also consulted the Bureau of Labor Statistics for inflation rates that occurred each month during 2008 using the Producer Price Index ("PPI"). The PPI measures the average change in prices received by producers of goods and services. This index represents most closely the costs of goods and services incurred by Duke Energy Carolinas. The PPI indicates that prices increased by 2.3% on average over the test year. This monthly index fully supports as known and measurable the Company's inflation rate of 1.9% used in its inflation adjustment in its pre-filed exhibits.

Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH ORS' ADJUSTMENT TO THE COMPANY'S OPERATING COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ALLEN SCRUBBERS AND ADDITIONAL OWNERSHIP INTEREST IN CATAWBA?

No, I do not. ORS Witness Scott decreased the Company's adjustment to reflect in the test year an annual amount of O&M expense related to the operation of the Allen scrubbers and the additional ownership interest in the Catawba Nuclear

A.

Station. However, the Company's actual O&M costs incurred for these
additional facilities fully supports the level of costs the Company included in its
cost of service. Actual levels of operating costs incurred year to date support an
annual expense of \$24 million, which represents an increase, rather than a
decrease as suggested by Witness Scott, to the amount included in test year
expense by Duke Energy Carolinas in its Application.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

8 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

9 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7